

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box (450) Alexandria Virgina 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/695,363	10/28/2003	Stewart R. Wyatt	200205662-1	5968	
22879	7590 08/10/2006	EXAM	EXAMINER		
	PACKARD COMPA	SUN, SC	SUN, SCOTT C		
	⁷ 2400, 3404 E. HARMON	L Destroyer	D + DCD > H II 4DCD		
INTELLEC	TUAL PROPERTY ADM	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
FORT COL	LINS, CO 80527-2400	2182			
			DATE MAILED: 08/10/2006	6	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

- ·		App	lication No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary			95,363	WYATT ET AL.				
			niner	Art Unit				
			t Sun	2182				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication reply	ation appears o	on the cover sheet	with the correspondence a	ddress			
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAINS IN THE MAINS IN THE MAINS OF THE M	ILING DATE C 37 CFR 1.136(a). In ication. tory period will apply II, by statute, cause t	OF THIS COMMUN on o event, however, may and will expire SIX (6) Munhe application to become	NICATION. a reply be timely filed ONTHS from the mailing date of this of ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	,			
Status								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed	on 12 May 20	06					
2a)□	•)⊠ This action						
3)		•—	ance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims							
4)⊠	☑ Claim(s) <u>1,2,4-9 and 25-37</u> is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>25-37</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1,2,4 and 5</u> is/are rejected.							
7)	Claim(s) 6-9 is/are objected to.							
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction	on and/or elect	ion requirement.					
Applicati	on Papers							
9)	The specification is objected to by the	Examiner.						
·	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a		or b)□ objected t	o by the Examiner.				
•	Applicant may not request that any objection	•	•	· ·				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the		- · ·	, ,	FR 1.121(d).			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to b			- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim fo ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	r foreign prioril	y under 35 U.S.C	. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
	1. Certified copies of the priority do	ocuments have	e been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority do	ocuments have	been received in	Application No				
	3. Copies of the certified copies of	the priority do	cuments have bee	en received in this Nationa	l Stage			
	application from the International	al Bureau (PC)	Rule 17.2(a)).					
* 5	See the attached detailed Office action	for a list of the	certified copies no	ot received.				
Attachmen	t(s)							
1) 🔀 Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892)			v Summary (PTO-413)				
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTC mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PT			o(s)/Mail Date f Informal Patent Application (PT	·O-152)			
	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PT r No(s)/Mail Date	(8010610	5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/695,363 Page 2

Art Unit: 2182

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 5/12/2006 has been entered.

Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 2, 4-9 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Election/Restrictions

- 3. Newly submitted claims 25-37 are directed to an invention that is independent or distinct from the invention originally claimed for the following reasons:
- 4. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species:
 - a. Species I, claims 1, 2, 4-9, directed to turning off a portion of a storage system based on an amount of data in a buffer (figure 2).
 - b. Species II, claims 25-37, directed to turning off a portion of a storage system while transferring data from a buffer (figure 3).

Art Unit: 2182

The species are independent or distinct because species I defines a <u>condition</u> under which to enter power saving mode, while species II defines an <u>operation</u> that occur during power saving mode.

Since applicant has received an action on the merits for the originally presented invention, this invention has been constructively elected by original presentation for prosecution on the merits. Accordingly, claims 25-37 are withdrawn from consideration as being directed to a non-elected invention. See 37 CFR 1.142(b) and MPEP § 821.03.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 1, 2, 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Claims 1, 2, 4-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Trost (US Patent #4,288,860) in view of Silvester (PG Pub # US 2003/0067847).
- 7. As per claim 1, Trost discloses a storage system, comprising:
- a storage controller (system between requestor and storage in figure 1) for managing transfer of data between a host (requestor 11) and storage memory (storage 10);

a data mover (storage control 12) coupled to the storage controller, the data mover handles data transferred between the host and the storage memory, and

a buffer (register stack 21) coupled to the data mover for storing data being transferred between the host and the storage memory (column 1, lines 51-60; column 3, lines 1-24).

Trost does not disclose expressly wherein, to conserve power, the storage controller selectively turns off a portion of the storage system based on an amount of data in the buffer. However, Silvester discloses the storage controller modifies operation of the storage system by turning off a portion (disk system) of the storage system (figure 2). Teachings of Trost and Silvester are from the same field of power consumption, and specifically of data storage systems.

Therefore, at the time of the invention it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to combine Trost's invention and Silvester's invention by adding power-saving error correction logic disclosed in Silvester's invention to Trost's invention to further provide Trost's storage system with further power saving features when data are not read from the storage (Silvester, paragraph 15).

- 8. As per claim 2, Trost and Silvester combined discloses the storage system of claim 1, and Silvester further discloses wherein the storage controller selectively turns off a portion of the storage system based on capacity of the buffer (paragraph 15).
- 9. As per claim 4, Trost and Silvester combined discloses the storage system of claim 1, and Trost further discloses wherein data is transferred between the data mover and host at a first transfer rate, and data is transferred between the data mover and

Application/Control Number: 10/695,363

Art Unit: 2182

storage memory at a second transfer rate, and wherein the storage controller selectively matches the first and second transfer rates (Column 6, lines 66 – column 7, line 6).

Page 5

- 10. Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Trost in view of Silvester and further in view of Wyatt et al, (PG Pub #2003/0172325).
- 11. As per claim 5, Trost and Silvester combined discloses the storage system of claim 1, and but does not disclose explicitly storage interface including error correction logic. However, Wyatt discloses a storage memory interface coupled to the data mover, the storage memory interface handles data transferred to and from storage memory, the storage memory interface also including error correction logic (paragraphs 3-10). Teachings of Trost, Silvester, and Wyatt are from the same field of data storage systems.

Therefore, at the time of the invention it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to combine teachings of Trost with teachings of Silvester and further with teachings of White by adding ECC to protect the system from data errors (Wyatt, paragraph 10).

Allowable Subject Matter

12. Claims 6-9 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Application/Control Number: 10/695,363

Art Unit: 2182

Page 6

13. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: claims 6-9 recites, inter alia, turning off the error correction logic of the storage memory interface based on an amount of data in the buffer. Prior art of record do not teach or suggest, either alone or in combination, the aforementioned limitation, nor would it be obvious to modify those references to include such limitation.

Conclusion-

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Scott Sun whose telephone number is (571) 272-2675. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 10:30am-7pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kim N. Huynh can be reached on (571) 272-4147. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

SUBEDVICE

UPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

8/7/66

SS